


Case: 2:15cv5204 Doc: 38

David Pardo
3033 Frontier Avenue NE
Albuquerque, NM 87190-0806

*Retained
Mark*



MIME-Version:1.0 From:cacd_ecfmail@cacd.uscourts.gov To:ecfnef@cacd.uscourts.gov
Message-Id:<21400824@cacd.uscourts.gov>Subject:Activity in Case 2:15-cv-05204-BRO-FFM
James Murtagh, M.D. v. David Pardo et al Order on Motion to Compel Content-Type: text/html

**This is an automatic e-mail message generated by the CM/ECF system. Please DO NOT
RESPOND to this e-mail because the mail box is unattended.**

*****NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS***** Judicial Conference of the United States policy
permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free
electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by
the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of
each document during this first viewing. However, if the referenced document is a transcript, the
free copy and 30 page limit do not apply.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT for the CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered on 4/28/2016 at 12:36 PM PDT and filed on 4/28/2016

Case Name: James Murtagh, M.D. v. David Pardo et al

Case Number: 2:15-cv-05204-BRO-FFM

Filer:

Document Number: 38

Docket Text:

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS TO COMPEL by Magistrate Judge Frederick F. Mumm: denying [36] Motion to Compel; denying [37] Motion to Compel : On April 27, 2016, plaintiff filed two motions (the "Motions") to compel the production of documents by non-parties pursuant to subpoenas. (Docket Nos. 36 and 37.) The Motions are not accompanied by any proof of service on the subpoenaed parties. Moreover, the Motions do not demonstrate service of the subject subpoenas on the subpoenaed parties. The Motions also do not reveal whether the subpoenaed parties are represented by counsel. Plaintiff is admonished that if either or both of the subpoenaed parties are represented by counsel, plaintiff must comply with Local Rule 45-1, which requires compliance with Local Rule 37. Given the foregoing, the Court DENIES the Motions without prejudice. (jm)

2:15-cv-05204-BRO-FFM Notice has been electronically mailed to:

Steven Dennison Smith sdsmithesquire@gmail.com, nancyhazzouri@gmail.com,
nancyh@n2nourish.com

Matthew Paul Nugent mnugent@gordonrees.com

Melinda Mae Morton calendaring@procopio.com, gail.poulos@procopio.com,
mindy.morton@procopio.com

2:15-cv-05204-BRO-FFM Notice has been delivered by First Class U. S. Mail or by other means

BY THE FILER to :

David Pardo
3033 Frontier Avenue NE
Albuquerque NM 87190-0806
US

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 JAMES MURTAGH, M.D.,) No. CV 15-5204 BRO (FFMx)
11 Plaintiff,)
12 v.) ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
13 DAVID PARDO, an individual, *et al.*,) MOTIONS TO COMPEL
14 Defendants.)

On April 27, 2016, plaintiff filed two motions (the “Motions”) to compel the production of documents by non-parties pursuant to subpoenas. (Docket Nos. 36 and 37.) The Motions are not accompanied by any proof of service on the subpoenaed parties. Moreover, the Motions do not demonstrate service of the subject subpoenas on the subpoenaed parties. The Motions also do not reveal whether the subpoenaed parties are represented by counsel. Plaintiff is admonished that if either or both of the subpoenaed parties are represented by counsel, plaintiff must comply with Local Rule 45-1, which requires compliance with Local Rule 37.

Given the foregoing, the Court DENIES the Motions without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: April 28, 2016

/S/ FREDERICK F. MUMM
FREDERICK F. MUMM
United States Magistrate Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Case 2:15-cv-05204-BRO-FFM Document 42 Filed 05/09/16 Page 4 of 4 Page ID #:342

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

U.S. COURTHOUSE, ROOM G8

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

NIXIE

878712069-1N

05/06/16

RETURN TO SENDER
ATTEMPTED - NOT KNOWN
UNABLE TO FORWARD
RETURN TO SENDER

